Westlaw

11 A.D.3d 434, 782 N.Y.S.2d 364, 2004 N.Y. Slip Op. 07141 (Cite as: 11 A.D.3d 434)

Supreme Court, Appellate Division, Second Department, New York. LUBAR, LLC, respondent, v. Ray BECKERMAN, et al., appellants, et al., defendants. Oct. 4, 2004.

Richard E. Hershenson, New York, N.Y., for appellants.

Manton, Sweeney, Gallo, **Reich** & Bolz, LLP, Rego Park, N.Y. (Michael H. Reich and Rosemarie A. Klie of counsel), for respondent.

In an action to foreclose a mortgage, the defendants Ray Beckerman and Susan Beckerman appeal, as limited by their brief, from so much of an order of the Supreme Court, Queens County (Kelly, J.), dated October 16, 2003, as denied their motion to dismiss the complaint and cancel the notice of pendency.

ORDERED that the order is affirmed insofar as appealed from, with costs.

The Supreme Court properly denied the appellants' motion to dismiss the complaint and cancel the notice of pendency (*see Horowitz v. Griggs*, 2 A.D.3d 404, 767 N.Y.S.2d 860; *Campbell v. Smith*, 309 A.D.2d 581, 768 N.Y.S.2d 182).

FLORIO, J.P., GOLDSTEIN, MASTRO and FISH-ER, JJ., concur.

N.Y.A.D. 2 Dept. 2004. Lubar, LLC v. Beckerman 11 A.D.3d 434, 782 N.Y.S.2d 364, 2004 N.Y. Slip Op. 07141

END OF DOCUMENT